



#### General

#### Title

Medical practice satisfaction: mean section score for "Care Provider" questions on the Medical Practice Survey.

#### Source(s)

Press Ganey Associates, Inc. National Quality Measures Clearinghouse (NQMC) measure submission form. Medical Practice Survey: care provider. 2011. p. 13-7.

Press Ganey Associates, Inc. Medical Practice Survey. South Bend (IN): Press Ganey Associates, Inc.; 2004. 2 p.

#### Measure Domain

#### Primary Measure Domain

Clinical Quality Measures: Patient Experience

# Secondary Measure Domain

Does not apply to this measure

## **Brief Abstract**

#### Description

This measure assesses the mean score for the ten questions in the "Care Provider" section of the Medical Practice Survey.

The "Care Provider" section is one of six sections that comprise the Medical Practice Survey. Each patient has a score for every question that was answered. For each patient, a section score is calculated as the mean of all the question scores in that particular section. Similarly, for each patient, an overall score is calculated as the mean of that patient's section scores.

#### Rationale

Patient satisfaction is both an indicator of quality of care and a component of quality care.

In 2001, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) advocated a patient-centered model of care (Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century). In part, this is a reflection of the growing understanding that:

"...patients constantly judge the motives and competence of caregivers through their interaction with them. This judgment is a very personal one, based on perceptions of care being responsive to patients' 'individual needs,' rather than to any universal code of standards (McGlynn, 1997). When these individual needs are perceived as being met, better care results. Lohr (1997) notes: 'Inferior care results when health professionals lack full mastery of their clinical areas or cannot communicate effectively and compassionately.' In short, when patients perceive motives, communication, empathy, and clinical judgment positively, they will respond more positively to care... Sobel (1995) claims that improved communication and interaction between caregiver and patient improves actual outcome. Donabedian (1988) notes that '...the interpersonal process is the vehicle by which technical care is implemented and on which its success depends' (from Press [2002], *Patient Satisfaction: Defining, Measuring, and Improving the Experience of Care*, Health Administration Press).

It is clear that patients quite actively evaluate what is happening to them during the experience of care. The degree to which the patient judges the care experience as satisfactory "...is not only an indicator of the quality of care, but a component of quality care, as well" (Press, 2002).

Patient satisfaction has been linked to the following clinical outcomes: clinical quality (e.g., hemoglobin A1c levels, cholesterol levels, lower bed disability days); chronic disease control; compliance; drug complications; quality of life; emotional health status; mental health; physical functioning; physical health status; post-surgery complications; post-surgery recovery times; risk-adjusted mortality rates; unexpected mortality; and work effectiveness (Clark, Drain, & Malone, 2004).

In addition to its connection to quality of care and clinical outcomes, patient satisfaction has been linked to the following:

Topline (Revenue): Loyalty; volume; physician satisfaction; patient's trust in provider; retention; employee satisfaction.

Bottomline (Expenses): Reduction in expenses; reduction in length of stay; reduction in complaints; reduction in malpractice claims; direct measures of financial performance (e.g., bond rating, core margin, earnings per adjusted admission, market share, net margin, profit margin) (Clark, Drain, & Malone, 2004).

#### Evidence for Rationale

Press Ganey Associates, Inc. National Quality Measures Clearinghouse (NQMC) measure submission form. Medical Practice Survey: care provider. 2011. p. 13-7.

Clark PA, Drain M, Malone MP. Return on investment in satisfaction measurement and improvement. Press Ganey Precept. 2004;1(1)

Patient satisfaction: defining, measuring, and improving the experience of care. Health Administration Press; 2002 Jul. 240 p.

## Primary Health Components

Medical practice care; care provider (physician, physician assistant [PA], nurse practitioner [NP], midwife); patient satisfaction

#### **Denominator Description**

Patients who go for a scheduled or unscheduled doctor visit

Alternatively, visits may be with a non-physician provider such as a physician assistant (PA) or a nurse practitioner (NP). Typically, the patient has an established relationship with their care provider.

See the related "Denominator Inclusions/Exclusions" field.

## **Numerator Description**

The mean of all the patients' scores for the "Care Provider" section of the Medical Practice Survey

# Evidence Supporting the Measure

#### Type of Evidence Supporting the Criterion of Quality for the Measure

A formal consensus procedure, involving experts in relevant clinical, methodological, public health and organizational sciences

Focus groups

#### Additional Information Supporting Need for the Measure

Not only has managed care grown since Press Ganey released its first Medical Practice Survey in 1990, but there has been an increase in the number of non-physician providers (such as nurse practitioners and physician assistants). Many physicians have found that they must participate in managed care plans not only to retain their existing patients but also to attract new ones. Group practices that deliver exceptional customer service are most likely to flourish in today's changing marketplace. Improved patient satisfaction increases word-of-mouth referrals and patients' compliance and has been linked to reduced staff turnover and malpractice costs. Improved patient satisfaction is also a predictor of mortality from cardiovascular disease, independent of care quality.

#### Evidence for Additional Information Supporting Need for the Measure

Garman AN, Garcia J, Hargreaves M. Patient satisfaction as a predictor of return-to-provider behavior: analysis and assessment of financial implications. Qual Manag Health Care. 2004 Jan-Mar;13(1):75-80. PubMed

Glickman SW, Boulding W, Manary M, Staelin R, Roe MT, Wolosin RJ, Ohman EM, Peterson ED, Schulman KA. Patient satisfaction and its relationship with clinical quality and inpatient mortality in acute myocardial infarction. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2010 Mar;3(2):188-95. PubMed

Hickson GB, Federspiel CF, Pichert JW, Miller CS, Gauld-Jaeger J, Bost P. Patient complaints and malpractice risk. JAMA. 2002 Jun 12;287(22):2951-7. PubMed

Press Ganey Associates, Inc. Medical practice survey psychometrics report. South Bend (IN): Press Ganey Associates, Inc.; 2010. 7 p.

## **Extent of Measure Testing**

The revised Medical Practice instrument was tested by surveying approximately 9,000 patients from eight practices across five states during a seven-week period. Both single and multispecialty practices were included, serving urban and rural populations.

Patients at each site were selected randomly: half of these patients received the current Medical Practice questionnaire, and half received the revised questionnaire. Questionnaires were mailed to each sampled patient within 3-5 days of his/her visit. A mail-out methodology was chosen over hand distribution to eliminate selection and acquiescence biases. The test concluded with the receipt of 1,791 revised questionnaires. Practice-level response rates for the revised questionnaire ranged from a low of 17% to a high of 23%, with an average of 20% across all the pilot practices. The revised Medical Practice instrument was found to be psychometrically sound across a wide variety of tests of validity and reliability, as described in detail in the Medical Practice Survey Psychometrics Report (see also the "Companion Documents" field).

#### Evidence for Extent of Measure Testing

Press Ganey Associates, Inc. Medical practice survey psychometrics report. South Bend (IN): Press Ganey Associates, Inc.; 2010. 7 p.

#### State of Use of the Measure

#### State of Use

Current routine use

#### **Current Use**

not defined yet

# Application of the Measure in its Current Use

## Measurement Setting

Ambulatory/Office-based Care

# Professionals Involved in Delivery of Health Services

not defined yet

## Least Aggregated Level of Services Delivery Addressed

Individual Clinicians or Public Health Professionals

## Statement of Acceptable Minimum Sample Size

Unspecified

#### Target Population Age

Unspecified

#### **Target Population Gender**

Either male or female

# National Strategy for Quality Improvement in Health Care

#### National Quality Strategy Aim

Better Care

#### National Quality Strategy Priority

Health and Well-being of Communities Person- and Family-centered Care

# Institute of Medicine (IOM) National Health Care Quality Report Categories

#### **IOM Care Need**

End of Life Care

Getting Better

Living with Illness

Staying Healthy

#### **IOM Domain**

Patient-centeredness

# Data Collection for the Measure

# Case Finding Period

Unspecified

## Denominator Sampling Frame

Patients associated with provider

#### Denominator (Index) Event or Characteristic

Encounter

#### **Denominator Time Window**

not defined yet

#### Denominator Inclusions/Exclusions

Inclusions

Patients who go for a scheduled or unscheduled doctor visit

Alternatively, visits may be with a non-physician provider such as a physician assistant (PA) or a nurse practitioner (NP). Typically, the patient has an established relationship with their care provider.

Exclusions

Patients surveyed within 90 days (recommended across all services)

Deceased patients

Patients admitted or referred to another service which also surveys patients

#### Exclusions/Exceptions

not defined yet

#### Numerator Inclusions/Exclusions

Inclusions

The mean of all the patients' scores for the "Care Provider" section of the Medical Practice Survey

Exclusions

Unspecified

# Numerator Search Strategy

Fixed time period or point in time

#### **Data Source**

Patient/Individual survey

#### Type of Health State

Does not apply to this measure

## Instruments Used and/or Associated with the Measure

Press Ganey Associates, Inc. Medical Practice Survey

# Computation of the Measure

#### Measure Specifies Disaggregation

Does not apply to this measure

#### Scoring

Composite/Scale

Mean/Median

#### Interpretation of Score

Desired value is a higher score

#### Allowance for Patient or Population Factors

not defined yet

#### Standard of Comparison

not defined yet

# **Identifying Information**

#### **Original Title**

Medical Practice Survey: care provider.

#### Composite Measure Name

Medical Practice Survey

#### Submitter

Press Ganey Associates, Inc. - For Profit Organization

#### Developer

Press Ganey Associates, Inc. - For Profit Organization

# Funding Source(s)

Press Ganey Associates, Inc.

#### Composition of the Group that Developed the Measure

Patients, providers, administrators, physicians, existing clients, Press Ganey Associates, Inc. employees

#### Financial Disclosures/Other Potential Conflicts of Interest

None

#### Adaptation

This measure was not adapted from another source.

#### Date of Most Current Version in NQMC

2004 Jan

#### Measure Maintenance

Unspecified

#### Date of Next Anticipated Revision

Unspecified

#### Measure Status

This is the current release of the measure.

This measure updates a previous version: Medical Practice Survey. South Bend (IN): Press Ganey Associates, Inc.; 2001. 2 p.

The measure developer reaffirmed the currency of this measure in April 2016.

#### Measure Availability

Source not available electronically.

For further information, contact: Press Ganey, 404 Columbia Place, South Bend, Indiana 46601; telephone: 800-232-8032; fax: 574-232-3485; e-mail: rwolosin@pressganey.com; Web site: www.pressganey.com

## Companion Documents

The following is available:

Press Ganey Associates, Inc. Medical Practice Survey psychometrics report. South Bend (IN): Press Ganey Associates, Inc.; 2010. 7 p.

For further information, contact: Press Ganey, 404 Columbia Place, South Bend, Indiana 46601; telephone: 800-232-8032; fax: 574-232-3485; e-mail: rwolosin@pressganey.com; Web site: www.pressganey.com

#### **NQMC Status**

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI on March 27, 2003. The information was verified by Press Ganey Associates on April 17, 2003.

This NQMC summary was retrofitted into the new template on July 8, 2011.

This NQMC summary was updated by ECRI Institute on March 9, 2012. The information was verified by the measure developer on March 26, 2012.

The information was reaffirmed by the measure developer on April 11, 2016.

#### Copyright Statement

© PRESS GANEY ASSOCIATES, INC. All Rights Reserved

All inquiries regarding the measure should be directed to the Press Ganey Web site or e-mail Robert Wolosin, Ph.D. at rwolosin@pressganey.com.

#### **Production**

#### Source(s)

Press Ganey Associates, Inc. National Quality Measures Clearinghouse (NQMC) measure submission form. Medical Practice Survey: care provider. 2011. p. 13-7.

Press Ganey Associates, Inc. Medical Practice Survey. South Bend (IN): Press Ganey Associates, Inc.; 2004. 2 p.

## Disclaimer

#### **NQMC** Disclaimer

The National Quality Measures Clearinghouseâ, ¢ (NQMC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the measures represented on this site.

All measures summarized by NQMC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public and private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, individuals, and similar entities.

Measures represented on the NQMC Web site are submitted by measure developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NQMC Inclusion Criteria.

NQMC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or its reliability and/or validity of the quality measures and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of measures represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NQMC, AHRQ, or its contractor, ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of measures in NQMC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding measure content are directed to contact the measure developer.